

April; 15, 2009

NPEDS

Attn; Mrs. Cassandra Ownes

Permit Industrial Unit/sect.

Cal. EPA-LARWQCB

Region 4, Suite 200

320 West 4th Street

Los Angeles, California, 90013

Fax. No. 213/ 576-6640

Tel. 213/ 576-6600

3-pages in all

(The Boeing Company/ Santa Susana Field Laboratory National Pollution Discharge Elimination System)

**RE: Permit Amendment To Waste Discharge No. CA. 0001309-CI NO. 6027
Report of Waste Discharge,(ROWD)**

Dear Mrs Ownes,

I am in opposition to the proposed CA. 0001309-CI 6027 Tentative Permit Amendment.

- . The request of Boeing that the word; constituents be removed from the monitor process, P. 13 of 64 ROWD Doc., and that,
- . The constituents be listed separately; Out Fall #'s 003, 004,005,006, 007 and 009 of the Arroya Simi Receiving Waters.
- . When you allowed the contaminated soil to be spread around you inadvertently impacted the SSFL site.

Whereas; Table 2, p. 10 of 64 "the expert Panel convened has recommended BP for Fall Out 008-009, and group 003-010 to meet bench mark expiring on June 10, 2009. B&Ps can't be completed at this time due to special use permits , subject to CEQA modification review, that will be completed in Ventura. I have a problem with that statement.

History has shown that Ventura County, specifically the Water Works Department doesn't always have the publics best interest in mind when dealings with health issues regarding the drinking water and, or waste water disposal(clean-up) at the Boeing/Rockdyne SSFL Missal Site.

I have followed this process with the water discharge since 1992, and have found the group reports to be incomplete at best. It is imperative that the Clean Water Act be of the utmost importance when dealing with the Rockdyne Missal Site/ now Boeing Site influence into the Publics Drinking Water. Therefore, I am in opposition to the tentative amendment to Waste Water Disposal at this time. Please take this proposal back to the table for a more realistic approach. I have enclosed a copy of the August 31, 08(R4-2007-OXXX), W.D.R. for SSFL. Sincerely,

Ginn Doose, P.O.Box 2310, Clearlake, CA. 95422 cc: The State Water Resources

August 31, 2007

NPEDS

Attn: Mrs. Cassandra Ownes

Permit Industrial Unit/sect.

Cal. EPA-LARWQCB

Region 4, Suite 200

320 West 4th Street

Los Angeles, California

90013

Fax. No. 213/ 576-6640

Tel. 213/ 576-6600

Copy of hand written

Opposition, (1) faxed 9/4/07

proof, and (2) 8/10/07 filing

To Ms. Rainey, D.T.S.C.P.C.A.U.

RE: The Boeing Company Santa Susana Field Laboratory National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit Amendment (Proposed Order No. R4-2007-OXXX), and Water Discharge Requirements.

Dear Mrs Ownes,

When I first started to review the documents listed below;

- . The Proposed Order R4-2007-OXXX,
- . The Fact Sheet,
- . The Administration Civil Liberty Complaint, and
- . The Consent Order for Corrective Action.

I felt we were off to a good start, making progress of;

Identifying, Addressing, and Containing

the toxic contamination that has spewed carcinogens into the Air and Water Supply for the Ventura and Los Angeles areas for 57 years now.

I appreciate the efforts that went into assisting the formulation of this order. But in all honesty, I was hoping to see stronger wording/language. I am of the opinion that some of the statements are misleading and somewhat contradictory. I refer to;

- . "Transported using piping to a Natural Drainage Channel", P. 9 Fact Sheet.
- . "Provide a significant portion of the Headwater", P.28 Order/No. R4-2007-OXXX.

• "Discharge is located in Arroyo Simi and the sediment contraction at the Arroyo Simi East of Hitch Blvd., or at Simi Valley Water Quality Control Plant should not exceed the interim effluent limitation. Since these facilities are located near the top of the Water Shed, The Discharger may choose to collect sediment samples closer to the facility"
P. 55, of Order No. R4-2007-OXXX.

This statement bothers me, it would appear that the effluent will be piped passed Simi Valley, and dumped the effluent in a down stream Community, still flowing towards the Pacific Ocean.

In other words Boeing isn't changing the amount of effluent discharged, it's just being Dumped in some one else's "back yard" using the Band Aid approach. I question if your not testing missals any longer (MWH-2006 Report SSFL Area 6) as of 1992, what is all the effluent from? Remember the Simi Arroyo flows into the Cajaguas Creek than to the down stream Communities.

• "Boeing operation at the SSFL since 1950" Doc. The Consent Order for Correction Action, P. 2, 2nd Pf., 2-3 sentence.

• "DTSC issued Post Closure Permits for Area's I, and III on May 11, 1995. The Post Closure Permit for Area's I, and III was issued to [The Boeing Co. Rocketdyne Pollution And Power]", as owner and operator". The wording tells me that Boeing and Rocketdyne are held by the same Parent Corp. that just appear to be two different no related Corporations, when actually they are one in the same.

• "The Discharge is Storm Water and it is near the top of the Water Shed, the Discharger may utilize the option of Sampling the OC Pesticides and PCB's or Sampling the receiving Water. The Discharger may also choose to join the Cajaguas Creek Water Shed, TSDL Monitoring Program and Monitor at an Established Compliance Sampling location in the Simi Arroyo", P. 28 Fact Sheet.

I'm concerned, I could go on but there are too many items that don't make sense. I've tried to list a few discrepancies that are being over looked. With the on going violations to the Publics Drinking Water for 57 years now, I'm disappointed that the language / wording wasn't "Stronger".

I'm in favor of asking for an investigation by the State Attorney General. Let's take this back to the (State Water) Board and work on making this "Fool-Proof". It's criminal what has taken place here in Los Angeles and Simi Valley. And, Im told that in Washington State near where Boeing has its headquarters there has been reported violations from that plant.
Sincerely,

Ginn Doose, P.O.Box 2310, Clearlake, Ca. 95422

Ginn Doose